

On Spherical t -designs in \mathbb{R}^2

YIMING HONG

Let X be a spherical t -design in \mathbb{R}^2 with $|X| = n$. It is shown that:

- (i) for $t+1 \leq n \leq 2t+1$, X must be a regular n -gon;
- (ii) for $n = 2t+2$, X is the union of two regular $(t+1)$ -gons;
- (iii) for each $n \geq 2t+3$, there are many (\aleph_1) X 's which can not be decomposed into the union of regular k_i -gons where $k_i \geq (t+1)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Spherical t -designs in real Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d were defined and studied by Delsarte, Goethals and Seidel [1]. A spherical t -design X is a finite non-empty set of unit vectors in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d such that, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, t$, the k th moments of X are constants with respect to orthogonal transformations of \mathbb{R}^d , or equivalently, that $\sum_{x \in X} h(x) = 0$ for all homogeneous harmonic polynomials $h(x)$ on \mathbb{R}^d of degree $1, 2, \dots, t$.* (See [1, Definition 5.1 and Theorem 5.2]). Such designs have lower bounds for their sizes [1, Theorems 5.11 and 5.12]. In particular, for a spherical t -design X in \mathbb{R}^2 , we have $|X| \geq t+1$ and $|X| = t+1$ iff X is the set of vertices of a regular $(t+1)$ -gon [1, Example 5.14]. For convenience, we will simply call the vertex set of a regular n -gon a regular n -gon and call a spherical t -design in \mathbb{R}^2 a t -design. Since a t -design is a $(t-1)$ -design and the union of t -designs is again a t -design, we have that the union of regular k_i -gons ($k_i \geq t+1$) is a t -design. This gives a great supply of t -designs. We define the following:

A t -design X is a *group-type t -design* iff $X = \cup_{i=1}^s G_i$ where G_i are regular k_i -gons with $k_i \geq t+1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = |X|$.

A t -design is a *non-group-type t -design* if it is not a group-type t -design.†

In this note, the author will investigate spherical t -designs in \mathbb{R}^2 with the help of the complex variable and prove the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *Let X be a spherical t -design in \mathbb{R}^2 with $|X| = n$. Then*

- (i) *for $t+1 \leq n \leq 2t+2$, X is always a group-type t -design; more precisely, when $t+1 \leq n \leq 2t+1$, X is a regular n -gon and when $n = 2t+2$, X is the union of two regular $(t+1)$ -gons;‡*
- (ii) *for each $n \geq 2t+3$, besides group-type t -designs, there are many (\aleph_1) non-group-type t -designs X 's.*

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS AND THE CASE $n \leq 2t+2$

Let $\text{Harm}(k)$ denote the linear space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k on \mathbb{R}^2 . It is known that $\dim(\text{Harm}(k)) = 2$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. (See, for example, [1, Theorem 3.2]). Let $z = x + iy$ denote the complex variable. Then $\text{Re}(z^k)$ and $\text{Im}(z^k)$ form a base for $\text{Harm}(k)$. This is based on the facts that the real and imaginary parts of a holomorphic function are harmonic, that both $\text{Re}(z^k)$ and $\text{Im}(z^k)$ are homogeneous and of degree k , and that they are linearly independent. Thus the definition of a t -design X

* The definition in [1] requires that all the elements in X be distinct. Here, in our theorem, we do not need this requirement.

† It seems that all explicit examples of spherical t -designs appearing in the literature were group-type t -designs.

‡ Including the regular $2(t+1)$ -gon.

that requires $\sum_{x \in X} h(x) = 0$ for all $h \in \text{Harm}(k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, t$, can be reformulated as follows:

LEMMA 1

$X = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\} \subset S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ is a t -design iff

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i^k = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, t$, iff
- (ii) $\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \dots z_{i_k} = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

Condition (ii) of this lemma suggests that we consider the product $(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \dots (z - z_n)$. By (ii), in the expansion of $(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \dots (z - z_n)$, the first t terms following z^n will all be equal to zero if X is a t -design. Furthermore, the t terms preceding the constant term will also be equal to zero. This is shown in Lemma 2. In order to simplify coefficients in the expansion of $(z - z_1) \dots (z - z_n)$, we give the following definitions.

DEFINITION. Given $X = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\} \subset S^1$, define $X^* = \{z_1^*, z_2^*, \dots, z_n^*\}$ by $z_k^* = z_k e^{-i\theta}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) where we choose θ in such a way that $e^{in\theta} = z_1 z_2 \dots z_n$ and $0 \leq n\theta < 2\pi$.

Clearly, $z_1^* z_2^* \dots z_n^* = 1$ and X^* is a t -design iff X is a t -design. We identify two t -designs to be the same design if one is obtained from the other by a rotation.

DEFINITION. Given $X = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\} \subset S^1$, define the polynomial $f_X(z)$ by

$$f_X(z) = (z - z_1^*)(z - z_2^*) \dots (z - z_n^*).$$

LEMMA 2. Let $X = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ be a subset of S^1 and let $f_X(z) = z^n - a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^k a_{n-k}z^{n-k} + \dots + (-1)^n a_0$. Then

- (i) $a_0 = 1$,
- (ii) $a_{n-k} = \overline{a_k}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$,
- (iii) X is a t -design iff $a_{n-1} = a_{n-2} = \dots = a_{n-t} = 0$ and iff $a_t = a_{t-1} = \dots = a_1 = 0$.

PROOF

(i) $a_0 = z_1^* z_2^* \dots z_n^* = 1$.

(ii)
$$a_{n-k} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} z_{i_1}^* z_{i_2}^* \dots z_{i_k}^* = \sum \frac{z_{i_1}^* z_{i_2}^* \dots z_{i_k}^*}{z_1^* z_2^* \dots z_n^*}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{n-k} \leq n} \frac{1}{z_{j_1}^* z_{j_2}^* \dots z_{j_{n-k}}^*} = \sum \overline{z_{j_1}^* z_{j_2}^* \dots z_{j_{n-k}}^*} = \overline{a_k}.$$

(iii) follows from (ii) and Lemma 1.

By Lemma 2, if X is a t -design, then

- (i) when $t+1 \leq n \leq 2t+1$, we have $f_X(z) = z^n + (-1)^n$ and
- (ii) when $n = 2t+2$, we have $f_X(z) = z^{2t+2} + (-1)^{t+1} a_{t+1} z^{t+1} + 1 = (z^{t+1} - A)(z^{t+1} - B)$ for some $A, B \in S^1$.

Therefore, we get the first assertion of Theorem A. That is the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let X be a t -design with $|X| = n$. Then*

- (i) *X is a regular n -gon if $t+1 \leq n \leq 2t+1$,*
- (ii) *X is the union of two regular $(t+1)$ -gons if $n = 2t+2$.*

3. THE CASE $n = 2t+3$

As we have discussed in the Introduction, there are many group-type t -designs of any given size $\geq t+1$. In the following, we are going to see there are also many (i.e. \aleph_1) non-group-type t -designs of any size $\geq 2t+3$.

First, we start with the case $n = 2t+3$. Let X be a t -design with $|X| = n = 2t+3$. By Lemma 2, $f_X(z) = z^{2t+3} + b_k z^{t+2} - \overline{b_k} z^{t+1} - 1$ for some $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Conversely, if $f(z) = z^{2t+3} + b_k z^{t+2} - \overline{b_k} z^{t+1} - 1$ has all its zeros in S^1 , then those zeros form a t -design of size $2t+3$. Suppose X is a group-type t -design. Then X must be either a regular $(2t+3)$ -gon or the union of a regular $(t+2)$ -gon and a regular $(t+1)$ -gon. In this case $f_X(z)$ has its coefficient $b_k = 0$ or $|b_k| = 1$. Therefore, in order to show the existence of a non-group-type t -design of size $2t+3$, it is sufficient to show that there exists a complex number $b_k \neq 0$ with $|b_k| \neq 1$ such that $f(z) = z^{2t+3} + b_k z^{t+2} - \overline{b_k} z^{t+1} - 1$ has all its zeros in S^1 .

Let us put $e^{i\theta}$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$) for z in the equation $z^{2t+3} + b_k z^{t+2} - \overline{b_k} z^{t+1} - 1 = 0$. Then we get $e^{i\theta(2t+3)} + b_k e^{i\theta(t+2)} = \overline{b_k} e^{i\theta(t+1)} + 1$. Multiplying both sides by $e^{-i\theta(t+3/2)}$, we get the equation $e^{i\theta(t+3/2)} + b_k e^{i\theta(1/2)} = \overline{b_k} e^{i\theta(t+3/2)} + e^{i\theta(1/2)}$. Thus

$$\operatorname{Im}(e^{i\theta(t+3/2)} + b_k e^{i\theta(1/2)}) = 0, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\sin(t+3/2)\theta + R \sin(1/2\theta + a) = 0 \text{ where } b_k = R e^{ia} (R, a \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Now our aim (i.e. to show the existence of non-group-type t -design) becomes to show that there exist some real numbers $R \neq 0$ or 1 and a such that the equation $\sin(t+3/2)\theta + R \sin(1/2\theta + a) = 0$ has $(2t+3)$ solutions for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Put $a = 0$. This equation becomes $\sin(t+3/2)\theta + R \sin(1/2)\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 0$ is a zero (for any R). Now, our aim becomes to get some $R \neq 0$ and 1 such that the equation $R = -\sin(t+3/2)\theta/\sin(\theta/2)$ has $(2t+2)$ solutions for $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$. Let $R(\theta) = -\sin(t+3/2)\theta/\sin(\theta/2)$ be a function defined on the open interval $(0, 2\pi)$. Then $R(\theta)$ is a continuous function and $R(\theta) = 1$ has $(2t+2)$ roots in $(0, 2\pi)$. They are $\theta = (2k-1)\pi/(t+2)$ and $\theta = 2h\pi/(t+1)$ where $k = 1, \dots, t+2$ and $h = 1, \dots, t$. It is easy to see that by continuity (or the mean value theorem) we can choose a small real number $\varepsilon \neq 0$ so that $R(\theta) = 1 + \varepsilon$ has $2t+2$ roots in $(0, 2\pi)$. The reader can check this by drawing the graph for $y = R(\theta)$. Moreover, for any $s \in (1, 1+\varepsilon)$ (or $s \in (1+\varepsilon, 1)$) $R(\theta) = s$ has $2t+2$ roots in $(0, 2\pi)$. This shows that there are many (\aleph_1) non-group-type t -designs in the case $n = 2t+3$. We want to pick a subset of these non-group-type t -designs to construct non-group-type t -designs of bigger size (size $\geq 3t+4$). First, we make the following preparation.

DEFINITION. An angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is rational if $\theta = q\pi$ for some rational number q . Otherwise, θ is irrational.

PROPOSITION 3. *If θ is a rational angle, then $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ are algebraic numbers.*

COROLLARY 3.1. *If R is a transcendental number, then all the roots θ of $R = -\sin(t+3/2)\theta/\sin(\theta/2)$ are irrational angles.*

From the above discussion, Corollary 3.1 and the fact that there are \aleph_1 transcendental numbers sitting in $(1, 1+\varepsilon)$, (or in $(1+\varepsilon, 1)$) we conclude that, in the case $n = 2t+3$, there are \aleph_1 non-group-type t -designs $X = \{e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_{2t+3}}\}$ with the property that

$\theta_1 = 0$ and $\theta_k (2 \leq k \leq 2t+3)$ are distinct irrational angles. This is also true for cases $2t+4 \leq n \leq 3t+3$; the argument is the same as (at least similar to) above. Thus we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. *For each size $n \in (2t+3, 3t+3)$, there are \aleph_1 non-group-type t -designs $X = \{e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}\}$ with the property that $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\theta_k (2 \leq k \leq n)$ are distinct irrational angles.*

Using Lemma 4, we can construct many (\aleph_1) non-group-type t -designs of size $\geq 3t+4$. This is done in the following way.

Given an integer $n \geq 3t+4$, let $n = (s+2)(t+1) + k$ where $1 \leq k \leq t+1$ and $s \geq 1$. Take a non-group-type t -design X_0 of size $2(t+1) + k = m$ as described in Lemma 4; i.e. $X_0 = \{e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}, \dots, e^{i\theta_m}\}$ where $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\theta_k (2 \leq k \leq m)$ are irrational angles. We want to use X_0 to construct a non-group-type t -design Y of size n . Choose a rational angle δ such that $0 < \delta < 2\pi/sn$. For $1 \leq j \leq s$, let X_j be the regular $(t+1)$ -gon containing e^{ia_j} where $a_j = j\delta$. Let $Y = \bigcup_{i=0}^s X_i$. Then Y is a non-group-type t -design of size n . Suppose not. Then Y could be decomposed into the union of some regular k_i -gons where $t+1 \leq k_i \leq n$. Because of the choice of δ , each $e^{i\theta_1}, e^{ia_1}, \dots, e^{ia_s}$ would belong to a different k_i -gon in the decomposition. This would give us $\geq (t+1)(s+1) > (t+1)s+1$ points $e^{i\theta}$ in Y with rational angles θ , which contradicts the fact that Y has only $(t+1)s+1$ points $e^{i\theta}$ in it with rational angles θ . We notice that:

- (a) the above construction of Y depends on the choice of X_0 ;
- (b) different X_0 's give different Y 's;
- (c) For each $n \geq 3t+4$, there are \aleph_1 such X_0 to choose.

Hence we get the second assertion of Theorem A. That is

For each $n \geq 3t+4$, there are \aleph_1 non-group-type t -designs of size n .

4. REMARK

It will be very interesting if we can get a similar result to Theorem A for $d \geq 3$. In general, we can define a spherical t -design X in \mathbb{R}^d to be a group-type t -design if X is the union of spherical t -designs X_i where each X_i has a finite subgroup of the orthogonal group $O(d)$ acting on X_i transitively. We ask the following questions for $d \geq 3$.

- (i) When $|X|$ is close to the lower bound as stated in [1, Theorems 5.11 and 5.12], is X necessarily a group-type t -design?
- (ii) Can we show the existence of many non-group-type spherical t -designs for large t ? So far we do not know any spherical t -designs for large t and for $d \geq 3$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Professor Eiichi Bannai (Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University) for suggesting the present research problem. The author also thanks Joe Hemmeter (Department of Mathematics, the Ohio State University) for his valuable discussions. A class of non-group-type t -designs in \mathbb{R}^2 were constructed by Joe Hemmeter (for any t but for larger $|X|$).

REFERENCE

1. P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* **6** (1977), 363–388.

Received 19 March 1982

Y. HONG

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University,
Columbus, Ohio 43210, U.S.A.